

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

|   |                                    |
|---|------------------------------------|
| In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001 | 03 MDL 1570 (GBD) (FM)<br>ECF Case |
|---|------------------------------------|

This document relates to:

*Ashton et al. v. al Qaeda Islamic Army, et al.*, 02-CV-6977 (GBD)(FM)

**[PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Upon consideration of the evidence and arguments submitted by the *Ashton* wrongful death Plaintiffs in the above-captioned action and the Judgment by Default Against the Islamic Republic of Iran entered on 08/26/2015, together with the entire record in this case, and in addition to the default judgment award for compensatory and punitive damages for the pre-death conscious pain and suffering of each decedent (*see* ECF Nos. 3226, 3229) and the final order of summary judgment for certain other *Ashton* plaintiffs (*see* ECF No. 3300) it is hereby;

**ORDERED** that final judgment is entered on behalf of those Plaintiffs in *Ashton et al. v. Al Qaeda Islamic Army et al.*, 02-CV-6977 (GBD) (FM) identified in the attached Exhibit A against the Islamic Republic of Iran; and it is

**ORDERED** that the *Ashton* Plaintiffs identified in the attached Exhibit A are awarded solatium damages as set forth in Exhibit A with prejudgment interest awarded on those claims arising out of injuries in New York State to be calculated at the rate of 9% per annum from September 11, 2001 until today; and with prejudgment interest awarded on claims arising out of injuries occurring elsewhere to be calculated at a rate of 4.96% per annum; all interest compounded annually over the same period; and it is

;

**ORDERED** that the *Ashton* Plaintiffs identified in the attached Exhibit A are awarded economic damages as set forth in Exhibit A and as supported by the expert reports and analyses submitted as Exhibit B; and it is

**ORDERED** that the *Ashton* Plaintiffs not appearing on Exhibit A may submit in later stages applications for solatium and economic damages awards that will be approved on the same basis as currently approved for those Plaintiffs appearing on Exhibit A.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2016

SO ORDERED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
GEORGE B. DANIELS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE